UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,437	03/24/2006	Hartwig Preckel	175.8246USU	2752	
	27623 7590 08/17/2010 OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, LLP			EXAMINER	
ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR			LUDLOW, JAN M		
STAMIFORD, C	STAMFORD, CT 06901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/17/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/542,437	PRECKEL, HARTWIG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jan M. Ludlow	1797
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-19 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.	
· · _		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 7/15/2005 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se action is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicat Iority documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/542,437 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

1. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,437 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 5-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayes (4877745) in view of Sasaki (6296811).

Hayes teaches positioning a piezoelectric dispenser 400 over a cell 904, dispensing plural drops by repeatedly activating a pulse generator, and repeating the process to fill different reagents into plural cells. See, e.g., cols. 7-8, Figure 1.

Hayes fails to teach supplying a damping pulse after dispensing.

Sasaki teaches a method of dispensing designed to eliminate the production of undesired fluid responses to actuation in Hayes (col. 1, lines 25-35). Sasaki teaches dispensing fluids using pulse generator 12, 16. Each element of the pulse generator functions both to dispense and to damp the other pulse (col. 3, lines 39-52). The elements may be actuated sequentially, with different pulse shapes (col. 6, lines 4-16).

It would have been obvious to provide a damping pulse after the dispensing pulse in order to eliminate the production of undesired fluid responses to actuation in Hayes by sequentially activating the two parts of the pulse generator as taught by Sasaki. It would have been obvious to optimize the different shapes of the pulses in order to optimize dispensing as taught by Sasaki (col. 5, line 61).

Application/Control Number: 10/542,437

Art Unit: 1797

5. Applicant's arguments filed June 8, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 4

- 6. Applicant argues that the Office Action has failed to determine the level of skill in the art, but the Office Action clearly makes reference to teachings available to one of ordinary skill.
- 7. Applicant argues Sasaki teaches two actuators and that the damping actuator is activated before the dispensing actuator. However, the instant "pulse generator" is not limited to a single actuator, and therefore the two piece pulse generator of Sasaki is not precluded. Further, Sasaki teaches that the labels "dispensing" and "damping" are not mutually exclusive and that each actuator damps the other (col. 3, lines 27-38). Thus, regardless of which element is actuated first in the sequence, the second actuated element damps the oscillations of the first. Thus, a damping pulse occurs at the end of the sequence.
- 8. Applicant argues that Hayes and Sasaki are non-analogous art, but both references are directed to piezoelectric dispensers, and Sasaki specifically refers to Hayes as an invention being improved upon. The instant application is also directed to a piezoelectric dispenser.
- 9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,437 Page 6

Art Unit: 1797

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

/Jan M. Ludlow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797